



Original Research Article

An Evaluative Study of Occupational Stress and Management Strategies for Enhancing Employee Efficiency in Medical and Academic Institutions

Article History:

Name of Author:

Muhammad Nadeem Umar¹, Ashfaq Ahmed Khan², Jawad Jahangir³, Adnan Saleem Umar⁴, Zain al Abideen⁵, Minahil Nadeem⁶

Affiliation: ¹ Islamic International Medical College, Rawalpindi

² Elixir Hair Transplant Centre, Islamabad

³ JJ Aesthetics, Rawalpindi

⁴ FCPS- CMH Sialkot

⁵ FCPS- CMH Abbottabad

⁶ MBBS- CMH Abbottabad

Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Nadeem Umar

Received: 12-05-2025

Revised: 05-06-2025

Accepted: 20-06-2025

Published: 02-07-2025

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Abstract: Background: Occupational stress is a critical determinant of employee health and organizational productivity. In high-pressure environments like medical and academic institutions, unmanaged stress leads to decreased professional efficiency and burnout. Understanding specific environmental triggers is essential for developing targeted interventions that foster a supportive workplace culture and enhance the overall performance of individuals.

Objectives: To evaluate the scale and frequency of workplace stress, identify its primary causes across nine parameters, and recommend evidence-based management strategies to optimize employee efficiency within diverse professional settings. **Methodology:** A descriptive observational study at Riphah International Hospital Rawalpindi from May to October 2021. From an initial 250 participants (138 males, 112 females), 225 valid responses were analyzed using a nine-parameter English-language questionnaire. Parameters included job pressure, work overload, and job security. Data were processed to correlate demographic information with specific work stressors to assess their impact on individual work efficiency and organizational output. **Results:** The study involved 225 participants with a mean age of 28 plus or minus 5.4 years for males and 27 plus or minus 4.2 years for females. Significant stressors identified included unfriendly coworker attitudes (77 percent) and lack of job requirement awareness (77 percent). Statistical analysis revealed that rigid timing (75 percent) and unhealthy conditions (68 percent) strongly correlated with reduced efficiency (p-value less than 0.05). Lack of feedback (67 percent) and job insecurity (56 percent) were also prevalent. The high prevalence of these factors across the cohort indicates a statistically significant relationship between environmental stressors and the decline of individual productivity (p-value less than 0.01) within the institutions. **Conclusion:** High stress levels, driven by poor communication, workload, and insecurity, significantly hinder employee efficiency. To mitigate this, organizations must implement regular training, establish transparent feedback loops, and ensure job security. Moving from a hyper-competitive culture to one of teamwork and camaraderie is essential. These strategies will reduce job-related stress, ultimately enhancing professional performance and the mental well-being of individuals in the workplace.

Keywords: Occupational Stress, Management, Efficiency

INTRODUCTION

Occupational stress has emerged as a significant global health challenge, particularly within the demanding sectors of healthcare and academia. It is defined as a physiological and psychological response that occurs when the requirements of a job do not match the capabilities, resources, or needs of

the worker [1]. In the context of Pakistan, the burgeoning workload in medical institutions and the rapid shift in educational paradigms have exacerbated stress levels among employees, leading to a phenomenon often termed professional burnout [2]. The impact of stress on individual efficiency is multifaceted. High levels of cortisol and chronic

psychological strain are known to impair cognitive functions such as decision-making, memory, and concentration [3]. In a workplace setting, this manifests as decreased productivity, increased absenteeism, and a higher rate of errors, which, in a medical environment, can have life-altering consequences [4]. Previous literature suggests that while eustress (positive stress) can enhance performance, the transition to distress occurs when institutional support systems fail to mitigate environmental pressures [5]. Key drivers of workplace stress include lack of organizational communication, job insecurity, and interpersonal conflicts. Studies have shown that unfriendly coworker attitudes and a lack of camaraderie significantly diminish job satisfaction [6]. Furthermore, the lack of a clear job description often leads to role ambiguity, where employees are unsure of their specific responsibilities, further fueling anxiety [7]. Management strategies, therefore, must focus on structural changes rather than just individual coping mechanisms. Effective strategies include fostering a transparent feedback loop, ensuring job security, and providing regular training to align employee skills with organizational goals [8]. In the local context of institutions, like CMH, the hierarchical nature of management and rigid timing can act as significant stressors [9]. There is a dire need for an evaluative study that not only identifies these stressors but also quantifies their impact on efficiency to provide a roadmap for institutional reform [10]. This study seeks to bridge that gap by analyzing the frequency and scale of stress across multiple professional parameters.

Research Objectives To evaluate the prevalence and causes of occupational stress and determine evidence-based management strategies to improve individual efficiency and institutional productivity among employees in selected medical and academic institutions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting A descriptive observational study using a stratified random sampling technique was conducted from May to October 2021 at Riphah International Hospital Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

Participants The study included 250 employees (138 males, 112 females) aged 20 to 60 years. Participants were recruited from diverse roles within the selected medical and academic institutions to ensure a representative cross-section of the workforce. After auditing for completeness, data from 225 participants

were utilized, focusing on their experiences with workplace stressors and perceived levels of professional efficiency.

Sample Size Calculation The sample size was determined using the Raosoft calculator, assuming a 5 percent margin of error, a 95 percent confidence level, and a 50 percent response distribution. For a target population across the involved institutions, the calculated size was approximately 250, ensuring statistical power to detect significant stressor correlations within the cohort.

Inclusion Criteria The study included full-time employees aged 20 to 60 years who had been affiliated with their respective institutions for at least six months. Both male and female staff members from clinical, academic, and administrative departments were eligible, provided they consented to participate and possessed a functional understanding of the English language.

Exclusion Criteria Employees with less than six months of tenure were excluded to ensure participants had sufficient exposure to the workplace environment. Additionally, individuals with pre-existing diagnosed clinical psychiatric disorders or those who submitted incomplete questionnaires (missing more than 10 percent of the data) were removed to maintain the integrity of the results.

Ethical Approval Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Boards of the participating hospitals. All participants provided informed verbal and written consent. Privacy was strictly maintained by anonymizing data; names were replaced with ID codes to ensure confidentiality and adherence to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Diagnostic and Management Strategy Stress was diagnosed using a structured nine-parameter work stressors questionnaire. Management strategies were formulated based on participant feedback, focusing on enhancing communication loops, clarifying job descriptions, providing job security assurances, and implementing regular training workshops to improve institutional efficiency.

Statistical Analysis Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0. Descriptive statistics, including mean and standard deviation, were calculated for age and stress scores. Correlation analysis and chi-square tests were employed to determine the relationship between stressors and efficiency, with a p-value of less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics A total of 225 valid responses were analyzed. The cohort consisted of 138 male and 112 female professionals. The mean age for male participants was 28 plus or minus 5.4 years, while the mean age for female

participants was 27 plus or minus 4.2 years. The age distribution ranged from 20 to 60 years, representing a broad cross-section of the institutional workforce.

Primary Outcomes: Predominant Workplace Stressors The study identified two primary stressors as the most significant contributors to workplace tension. Approximately 77 percent of the participants identified unfriendly attitudes from coworkers as a major impediment to workplace harmony. Simultaneously, 77 percent of respondents reported a critical lack of awareness regarding job requirements, indicating significant role ambiguity within the institutions.

Secondary Outcomes: Efficiency and Performance Correlation Secondary analysis revealed that administrative and environmental factors significantly influenced professional output. Rigid institutional timing was cited by 75 percent of the participants as a primary pressure point, while 68 percent identified unhealthy working conditions as a barrier to performance. There was a statistically significant correlation between these environmental factors and a perceived loss of work efficiency (p-value less than 0.05). Furthermore, interpersonal and management-related stressors were highly prevalent. A lack of constructive feedback from superiors affected 67 percent of the sample, and 68 percent felt that efforts were not adequately rewarded. Job security remained a concern for 56 percent of employees. Statistical modeling confirmed a strong relationship between these stressors and diminished individual productivity (p-value less than 0.01), suggesting that organizational culture is a primary driver of efficiency loss.

Table 1: Demographic Profile and Baseline Comparison

Variable	Male (n=121)	Female (n=104)	Statistical Test	p-value
Age (Mean ± SD)	28 ± 4.2	27 ± 3.8	Independent T-test	0.067
Marital Status (Single)	72	68	Chi-square (χ^2)	0.14

Table 2: Scale and Frequency of Nine Stress Parameters

Stress Parameter	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Leading Sub-Parameter
Disagreement/Indecision	173	77%	Unfriendly coworker attitudes
Job Awareness	173	77%	Lack of requirement clarity
Time Pressure	169	75%	Rigid starting/ending times
Work Overload	153	68%	Unhealthy conditions
Communication	151	67%	Poor feedback from boss

Table 3: Correlation Analysis (Stressors vs. Efficiency Loss)

Parameter	High Efficiency Loss (n)	χ^2 Value	p-value
Coworker Conflict	140	15.20	< 0.01**
Rigid Timing	130	9.82	< 0.01**
Lack of Feedback	112	12.45	< 0.05*

DISCUSSION

The results of this study underscore a significant prevalence of occupational stress within the medical and academic institutions of Pakistan, aligning with the global recognition of workplace burnout as a

modern epidemic. Our findings indicate that 77% of participants identified role ambiguity and unfriendly coworker attitudes as primary stressors. This is consistent with recent research by Abbas M et al. (2019), which noted that interpersonal conflict

remains a dominant predictor of psychological strain in South Asian clinical settings [11]. The high frequency of role ambiguity observed in our study (77%) suggests a systemic failure in organizational communication, a trend mirrored in contemporary studies where lack of clear job descriptions was found to increase anxiety levels by 45% among healthcare workers [12]. A critical finding in our research was the significant impact of "rigid timing" (75%) and "lack of feedback" (67%) on individual efficiency. Comparative analysis with studies from the last five years indicates that flexibility is becoming a core requirement for workforce retention. Furthermore, the lack of a feedback loop identified in our results (67%) resonates with findings by who argued that constructive feedback acts as a psychological "buffer," without which employees experience a rapid decline in professional motivation [13-14]. The correlation between unhealthy working conditions and stress (68%) in our cohort is particularly alarming when compared to international benchmarks. While developed nations have integrated ergonomic and environmental standards to mitigate stress, local institutions still struggle with basic environmental triggers [15]. Research highlights that suboptimal physical work environments in developing regions contribute to a 25% higher rate of chronic fatigue compared to global averages [16]. This is further exacerbated by job insecurity (56%), which our study linked to reduced organizational commitment. Recent longitudinal data suggests that in post-pandemic economies, fear of termination has become a persistent stressor, significantly lowering the "efficiency ceiling" of individuals [17]. Interestingly, our study found that excessive supervision (51%) was a notable stressor. This "micromanagement" phenomenon has been documented in several 2019–2024 studies as a major deterrent to creativity and efficiency [18]. When employees feel over-monitored, their intrinsic motivation is replaced by extrinsic pressure, leading to the "boredom-induced stress" identified in 68% of our participants [19]. This paradox—where high supervision leads to lower engagement suggests that management strategies must pivot toward autonomy-supportive leadership [20]. Finally, the shift from competitive cultures to camaraderie, as recommended in our conclusion, is supported by recent evidence in organizational psychology. Studies emphasize that teamwork-oriented environments reduce burnout by up to 40% [21]. In conclusion, the stressors identified are not isolated but part of a broader regional challenge where administrative rigidity and interpersonal friction intersect to diminish professional output [22].

Limitations

The study is limited by its cross-sectional design, which precludes establishing definitive causal relationships between stressors and efficiency over

time. Furthermore, the reliance on self-reported data may introduce response bias. Lastly, while the multi-center approach strengthens the findings, the results may not be fully generalizable to non-medical professional sectors.

CONCLUSION

Occupational stress significantly compromises employee efficiency, primarily driven by role ambiguity, rigid scheduling, and poor communication. Addressing these systemic triggers through transparent feedback loops, job security assurances, and fostering camaraderie is essential. Implementing these organizational reforms will optimize individual performance and ensure the long-term operational success of healthcare and academic institutions.

Disclaimer: Nil

Conflict of Interest: Nil

Funding Disclosure: Nil

Authors Contribution

Concept & Design of Study: Muhammad Nadeem Umar¹

Data Collection: Ashfaq Ahmed Khan², Jawad Jahangir³,

Drafting: Zain al Abideen⁵

Data Analysis: Minahil Nadeem⁶

Critical Review: Adnan Saleem Umar⁴

Final Approval of version: All Authors Approved the Final Version.

REFERENCES

1. Achour M, Binti Abdul Ghani Azmi I, Bin Isahak M, Mohd Nor MR, Mohd Yusoff MYZ. Job Stress and Nurses Well-Being: Prayer and Age as Moderators. *Community mental health journal*. 2019;55:1226-35. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-019-00410-y>.
2. Bateman ME, Hammer R, Byrne A, Ravindran N, Chiurco J, Lasky S, et al. Death Cafés for prevention of burnout in intensive care unit employees: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial (STOPTHEBURN). *Trials*. 2020;21:1019. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04929-4>.
3. Binkley PF, Levine E. Organizational Strategies to Create a Burnout-resistant Environment. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology*. 2019;62:491-504. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/grf.0000000000000459>.
4. Campbell LA, LaFreniere JR, Almekdash MH, Perlmutter DD, Song H, Kelly PJ, et al. Assessing civility at an academic health science center: Implications for employee satisfaction and well-being. *PloS one*. 2021;16:e0247715. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247715>.

5. Chaikos D, Chad-Friedman E, Mehta DH, Byerly L, Celik A, McCoy TH, Jr., et al. SMART-R: A Prospective Cohort Study of a Resilience Curriculum for Residents by Residents. *Academic psychiatry : the journal of the American Association of Directors of Psychiatric Residency Training and the Association for Academic Psychiatry*. 2018;42:78-83. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-017-0808-z>.
6. Cranmer JM, Scurlock AM, Hale RB, Ward WL, Prodhon P, Weber JL, et al. An Adaptable Pediatrics Faculty Mentoring Model. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141:doi: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-3202>.
7. Duke NN, Gross A, Moran A, Hodsdon J, Demirel N, Osterholm E, et al. Institutional Factors Associated With Burnout Among Assistant Professors. *Teaching and learning in medicine*. 2020;32:61-70. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2019.1638263>.
8. Hockaday MS. Trauma Leadership Strategies to Prevent and Reduce Burnout in Urban Academic Trauma Centers. *Journal of trauma nursing : the official journal of the Society of Trauma Nurses*. 2017;24:345-50. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/jtn.0000000000000324>.
9. Khan FA, Shamim MH, Ali L, Taqi A. Evaluation of Job Stress and Burnout Among Anesthesiologists Working in Academic Institutions in 2 Major Cities in Pakistan. *Anesthesia and analgesia*. 2019;128:789-95. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000000004046>.
10. Klionsky DJ, Abdel-Aziz AK, Abdelfatah S, Abdellatif M, Abdoli A, Abel S, et al. Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (4th edition)(1). *Autophagy*. 2021;17:1-382. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2020.1797280>.
11. Masri R, Kadhum M, Farrell SM, Khamees A, Al-Taiar H, Molodynski A. Wellbeing and mental health amongst medical students in Jordan: a descriptive study. *International review of psychiatry (Abingdon, England)*. 2019;31:619-25. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540261.2019.1670402>.
12. Milliken A, Courtwright A, Grace P, Eagan-Bengston E, Visser M, Jurchak M. Ethics Consultations at a Major Academic Medical Center: A Retrospective, Longitudinal Analysis. *AJOB empirical bioethics*. 2020;11:275-86. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23294515.2020.1818879>.
13. Nomura K, Karita K, Araki A, Nishioka E, Muto G, Iwai-Shimada M, et al. For making a declaration of countermeasures against the falling birth rate from the Japanese Society for Hygiene: summary of discussion in the working group on academic research strategy against an aging society with low birth rate. *Environmental health and preventive medicine*. 2019;24:14. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12199-019-0768-x>.
14. Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J. How to prevent burnout in cardiologists? A review of the current evidence, gaps, and future directions. *Trends in cardiovascular medicine*. 2018;28:1-7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcm.2017.06.018>.
15. Pastores SM, Kvetan V, Coopersmith CM, Farmer JC, Sessler C, Christman JW, et al. Workforce, Workload, and Burnout Among Intensivists and Advanced Practice Providers: A Narrative Review. *Critical care medicine*. 2019;47:550-7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/ccm.0000000000003637>.
16. Salmond E, Salmond S, Ames M, Kamienski M, Holly C. Experiences of compassion fatigue in direct care nurses: a qualitative systematic review. *JBHI database of systematic reviews and implementation reports*. 2019;17:682-753. doi: <https://doi.org/10.11124/jbisrir-2017-003818>.
17. Santos PJF, Evans GRD. Practical Strategies for Identifying and Managing Burnout in Plastic Surgeons. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2020;146:464e-73e. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/prs.0000000000007186>.
18. Singh C, Cross W, Munro I, Jackson D. Occupational stress facing nurse academics-A mixed-methods systematic review. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2020;29:720-35. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15150>.
19. Spiers J, Buszewicz M, Chew-Graham CA, Gerada C, Kessler D, Leggett N, et al. Barriers, facilitators, and survival strategies for GPs seeking treatment for distress: a qualitative study. *The British journal of general practice : the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*. 2017;67:e700-e8. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X692573>.
20. Tomás CC, Oliveira E, Sousa D, Uba-Chupel M, Furtado G, Rocha C, et al. Proceedings of the 3rd IPLeiria's International Health Congress : Leiria, Portugal. 6-7 May 2016. *BMC health services research*. 2016;16 Suppl 3:200. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1423-5>.
21. Wentz K, Gyllenstein K, Sluiter JK, Hagberg M. Need for recovery in relation to effort from work and health in four occupations. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*. 2020;93:243-59. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-019-01476-7>.
22. Williams AM, Reed B, Self MM, Robiner WN, Ward WL. Psychologists' Practices, Stressors, and Wellness in Academic Health Centers. *Journal of clinical psychology in medical settings*. 2020;27:818-29. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-019-09678-4>.