



Original Research Article

Clinical Efficacy and Safety of Botulinum Toxin Type A Compared with Occlusal Splint Therapy in the Management of Bruxism: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Article History:

Name of Author:

Dr. Rehma Siddique ¹, Dr. Areej Zahid ² Dr. Armeen fakhur ³, Dr Ayesha Sajawal ⁴, Dr. Muhammad Aleem⁵, Dr. Saira Ibrahim⁶

Affiliation: ¹BDS, RDS, FCPS II Orthodontics Resident Armed Forces Institute of Dentistry,

²BDS, RDS, Army Medical College, Rawalpindi, Demonstrator

Prosthodontics, Armed Forced Institute of Dentistry CMH Rawalpindi,

³ BDS, RDS, FCPS II Prosthodontics Resident Dow international dental college, didc, duhs

⁴BDS, RDS, FCPS II Prosthodontics Resident CMH Lahore Medical College & IOD.

⁵BDS RDS, Demonstrator in FMH College of Medicine and Dentistry

⁶BDS, FCPS Prosthodontics, Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, IOD, CMH Lahore Medical College Lahore, Pakistan.

Received: 12-11-2025

Revised: 25-11-2025

Accepted: 09-12-2025

Published: 31-12-2025

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Abstract: Background: Bruxism is a disorder that afflicts 8-31% of adults, leading to the wear of teeth, the development of temporomandibular disorders and muscular pain, as well as decreased quality of life. There are new treatment modalities in the form of both occlusal splint therapy and botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A) injections, but their effectiveness and possible safety should be evaluated systematically. **Purpose:** To critically assess and contrast the clinical efficacy, safety, time course of effects and patient reported outcomes of BTX-A injected patients versus the use of occlusal splints in the management of bruxism. **Methods:** searching PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Embase databases and Cochrane databases till December 2024. Randomized controlled trials that compared BTX-A with Bruxism treatment with occlusal splints in adults were incorporated. Outcome measures: bruxism rate, intensity of pain, maximal mouth opening (MMO), electromyogram, and quality of life. Selection, extraction, and quality assessment were done by two independent reviewers using Cochrane RoB2 tool. Random-effects models were employed in the meta-analysis. **Findings:** 6 RCTs (323 patients, 88 percent female, 26.9-55.3 years of age) were eligible. In the case of MMO, BTX-A was much improved, at 3 months (SMD 0.787, 95% CI 0.186-1.389, $p=0.032$), but not at 6 months (SMD 0.217, $p=0.297$) or 12 months. At 1-3 months (64 vs. 31, $p<0.001$), BTX-A proved better than placebo in reduction of EMG, but converged at ≥ 6 months. Both therapies indicated the significant levels of pain reduction (79-94% of BTX-A as compared to 79% of splints) with no significant changes (OR 0.673, $p=0.272$). Quality of life: 85% BTX-A vs. 75% splints said much improved ($p=0.284$). BTX-A was found to have more reduction of bruxism at 1 month ($p=0.021$) but the same at 6 months. Safety: BTX-A was temporarily weak in muscles (12-18%), bruised (5-10%); splints initially painful (25-40%). Adherence: BTX-A 93-97% vs. splints 68-85% ($p<0.05$). Patient satisfaction: 78-88% vs. 72-82% ($p>0.05$). **Conclusion:** BTX-A and occlusal splints can be used with different profiles. BTX-A has a rapid action (peak 1-3 months), which is appropriate in the case of urgent management or severe disease. Splints offer the progressive effects, with similar long-term results, which are suitable in the first-line conservative treatment. The choice of treatment to follow ought to be

personalized in relation to the levels of the symptoms, urgency, ability to comply, economic considerations, and preferences of the patient. Future studies will be required to deal with long-term outcomes, combination approach, and cost-effectiveness.

Keywords: Bruxism; teeth grinding; sleep bruxism; Botulinum toxin type A; Botox; Occlusal splint; Night guard; Temporomandibular disorders; Systematic review; Meta-analysis; Randomized controlled trial.

INTRODUCTION

Bruxism is described as a repetitive activity of the jaw-muscles that causes the clenching or grinding of teeth that may happen either during sleep or during a state of wakefulness.^{1,2} The prevalence of this parafunctional activity is 8-31% of the general population; however, this percentage differs according to the mode of assessment and diagnosis criteria.^{3,6} Bruxism has several clinical implications such as tooth wear, fracture of dental restorations, temporomandibular joint disorders, pains in the masticatory muscles, and hindr Multifactorial etiology Multigenerational predictability (20-50%), neurochemical imbalances (dopaminergic, serotonergic, GABAergic) and psychological factors (stress, anxiety), sleep disorders Multiple manifestations of bruxism in patients: loss of vertical dimension, impaired esthetics, pulpal injury necessitating endodontic therapy, and high psychosocial effects in everyday functioning and quality of life.^{27,28} Occlusal splints represent the most widely used conservative treatment, fabricated from hard acrylic resin and worn over teeth occlusal surfaces. Proposed mechanisms include mechanical protection (preventing tooth-to-tooth contact, redistributing forces), neuromuscular modulation (altering proprioceptive feedback), joint stabilization, and cognitive-behavioral effects (increasing awareness).³⁹⁻⁴⁹ Multiple systematic reviews demonstrate efficacy in symptom relief, though evidence for reducing bruxism activity itself remains limited.⁵¹⁻⁵³ Advantages include: non-invasive approach, protective benefits for dental structures, long-term use potential, one-time fabrication cost. Limitations: compliance dependence (40-85% adherence), initial discomfort, does not address underlying etiology, requires dental follow-up, variable individual effectiveness.⁵⁴⁻⁶⁰ BTX-A is a neurotoxin from *Clostridium botulinum* that inhibits acetylcholine release at neuromuscular junctions, producing temporary, dose-dependent muscle paralysis.^{61,62} The mechanism involves SNAP-25 cleavage, preventing vesicle fusion and acetylcholine release, with effects lasting 3-6 months.^{63,64} Application in bruxism targets excessive masticatory muscle contractions, reducing force and frequency without eliminating normal function.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷ Clinical protocol: bilateral injections into masseter (25-100

units per muscle) and/or temporalis muscles (10-50 units), using 27-30 gauge needles. Effects: Onset in 1-2 weeks, peak after 4-6 weeks, decrease after 3-6 months.⁷¹⁻⁷⁶ Scientific literature has shown substantial decreases in bruxism frequency, muscle activity, intensity of pain, and quality of life. Restrictions: short term or interim period of repeat injections, increased cost, injectability, unpredictable individual response, lack of clinical data of bruxism safety during long-term.⁷⁷⁻⁸⁵

Study Rationale

Despite increasing clinical use, critical knowledge gaps exist regarding comparative effectiveness, optimal application, and selection criteria. This systematic review addresses: How do treatments compare in reducing bruxism objectively? What is the comparative time course? Do treatments demonstrate long-term equivalence? How do safety profiles compare? What patient characteristics predict response? What are cost-effectiveness considerations? Would combination strategies offer advantages?⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search Strategy

Systematic search following PRISMA 2020 guidelines⁸⁹ across PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane CENTRAL, and Google Scholar (inception to December 2024). Search employed MeSH terms and keywords: ("bruxism" OR "teeth grinding") AND ("botulinum toxin" OR "botox" OR "BTX-A") AND ("occlusal splint" OR "night guard" OR "bite splint") AND ("randomized controlled trial" OR "comparative study"). No language restrictions initially applied.

Inclusion Criteria

Population: Adults (≥ 18 years) with diagnosed bruxism. Intervention: BTX-A intramuscular injection (any preparation). Comparator: Occlusal splint therapy (any type). Outcomes: Bruxism frequency, pain intensity, maximum mouth opening, EMG activity, quality of life, or adverse events. Design: RCTs, controlled trials, prospective comparative studies. Follow-up: Minimum 4 weeks.

Exclusion Criteria

Non-comparative studies, TMD focus without bruxism diagnosis, pediatric populations, case reports (<10 participants/group), review articles, combined interventions preventing isolation of effects, insufficient data after author contact, duplicate publications.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Two independent reviewers performed dual screening (title/abstract, then full-text), data extraction, and quality assessment. Disagreements resolved by discussion or third reviewer. Extracted data: study characteristics, participant demographics, intervention details, outcome measures (means, SDs, CIs, p-values), adverse events, adherence rates.

Quality Assessment

Risk of bias assessed using Cochrane RoB 2 tool⁹⁰ across five domains: randomization process,

deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, outcome measurement, and selective reporting. Studies judged as "low risk," "some concerns," or "high risk" overall.

Statistical Analysis

Meta-analysis using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis v3.0. Continuous outcomes: standardized mean differences (SMD) or mean differences (MD) with 95% CI. Dichotomous outcomes: odds ratios (OR) or risk ratios (RR). Random-effects models (DerSimonian-Laird) used given anticipated heterogeneity. Heterogeneity assessed via Chi-square ($p < 0.10$), I^2 statistic, and τ^2 . Subgroup analyses by follow-up duration, bruxism type, BTX-A dose, and splint type. Sensitivity analyses excluded high-risk studies. Publication bias assessed when ≥ 10 studies available.

RESULTS

Study Selection

Search yielded 742 records; 187 duplicates removed, leaving 555 for screening. After title/abstract review, 32 underwent full-text assessment. Twenty-six excluded: 14 no direct comparison, 5 TMD without specific bruxism diagnosis, 3 insufficient data, 2 combined interventions, 2 duplicate populations. **Six studies met criteria** (Cohen's kappa 0.89 initial screening, 0.92 full-text).

Study Characteristics

Six RCTs (2013-2023) from Turkey (n=3), Egypt (n=2), South Korea (n=1), Brazil (n=1). Total 323 participants (individual studies: 24-80). Predominantly female (88%), mean ages 26.9-55.3 years. Bruxism diagnosed via clinical examination, patient report, tooth wear assessment, muscle tenderness. Most focused on sleep bruxism (5/6). Follow-up: 1 week to 12 months. BTX-A dosages: 45-500 IU total, bilateral masseter and/or temporalis injections. All studies used hard acrylic maxillary stabilization splints worn during sleep.

Study	N (BTX/OS)	Population	BTX-A Protocol	Splint Protocol	Follow-up
Shahine 2013	12/12	Women, 30.6±6.2 yr	30U masseter, 25U temporalis	Hard acrylic maxillary, nightly 12 wk	2, 3 mo
Yurttutan 2019	24/25	31F/18M, 30.5±9.9 yr	90U total (15U temp, 30U mass)	2mm hard acrylic, 12hr/day 6mo	6 mo
Hong 2020 (Young)	11/12	Women, 26.9±6.0 yr	20U temp, 25U mass, 2 sessions	2mm hard acrylic, ≥ 8 hr/day	12 mo
Hong 2020 (PM)	12/10	Women, 55.3±6.3 yr	20U temp, 25U mass, 2 sessions	2mm hard acrylic, ≥ 8 hr/day	12 mo
Fathy 2020	20/20	20-40 yr	Temp & mass (dose NR)	Maxillary (details NR)	1 wk, 3, 6 mo
De la Torre 2021	60/20	Women	3 doses: L/M/H	Heat-poly acrylic, nightly	6 mo

Quality Assessment

RoB 2 assessment: Randomization process - low risk (4/6), some concerns (2/6). Deviations from interventions -

low risk (5/6), some concerns (1/6). Missing data - low risk (6/6). Outcome measurement - some concerns (6/6) due to blinding challenges inherent to intervention types. Selective reporting - low risk (5/6), some concerns (1/6). Overall: all studies rated "some concerns" primarily due to practical blinding limitations.

Primary Outcome: Maximum Mouth Opening

Five studies (n=263) reported MMO across 1 week to 12 months.

Overall analysis: Heterogeneous ($\tau^2=1.042$, $I^2=89.81\%$, $p<0.001$). Random-effects SMD 0.293 (95% CI -0.383 to 0.969, $p=0.395$), favoring BTX-A but not statistically significant.

Subgroup analysis by time point:

- 1 week: BTX-A 21 ± 3.9 vs. OS 37 ± 3.9 mm, favoring OS ($p<0.001$) - likely initial post-injection weakness
- 1-2 months: No significant difference ($p>0.05$)
- 3 months: BTX-A superior, SMD 0.787 (95% CI 0.186-1.389, $p=0.032$), $I^2=62.4\%$
- 6 months: No difference, SMD 0.217 (95% CI -0.191 to 0.624, $p=0.297$)
- 12 months: No difference, SMD 0.849 (95% CI -0.966 to 2.664, $p=0.359$)

Pain Outcomes

Graded Chronic Pain Scale (2 studies, n=129): Both treatments achieved substantial improvements. Yurttutan 2019: BTX-A 81.8% improvement vs. OS 41.7% ($p=0.025$). De la Torre 2021: Low-dose 94.1%, medium 88.9%, high 78.9% vs. OS 78.9% ($p=0.412$). Meta-analysis: OR 0.673 (95% CI 0.331-1.365, $p=0.272$), no significant difference, low heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$).

Visual Analog Scale pain: Multiple studies showed comparable pain relief at all time points (60-70% reduction from baseline), no significant between-group differences.

Electromyographic Activity

Three studies incorporated EMG. BTX-A showed superior reduction at 1-3 months: $64\pm12\%$ vs. $31\pm8\%$ ($p<0.001$) in Shahine 2013; 47% vs. 38% in Hosgor 2023 ($p=0.087$). Differences became non-significant at ≥ 6 months.

Bruxism Episode Frequency

Two studies measured objectively. Hosgor 2023: BTX-A superior at 1 month (4.8 ± 1.2 to 2.1 ± 0.8 vs. 4.6 ± 1.3 to 3.2 ± 0.7 episodes/hr, $p=0.021$), no difference at 6 months ($p=0.612$).

Quality of Life

Three studies assessed QOL. Significant improvements in both groups. No between-group differences in Yurttutan 2019 (OHIP-14, $p=0.156$) or Hong 2020 (SF-36). De la Torre 2021: 85% BTX-A vs. 75% OS reported "much improved" or better ($p=0.284$).

Safety Profile

BTX-A adverse events (all mild-moderate, self-limiting, resolved 2-4 weeks):

- Transient muscle weakness: 12-18%
- Difficulty chewing tough foods: 8-15%
- Injection site bruising: 5-10%
- Mild facial asymmetry: 3-6%
- Temporary dysphagia: 2-4%
- Headache: 3-7%

No serious adverse events reported. Lower doses associated with fewer events.

Occlusal splint adverse events (predominantly initial 1-2 weeks, decreased with use):

- Initial discomfort/foreign body sensation: 25-40%
- Excessive salivation: 15-22%
- Sleep disruption (initial): 10-15%
- Morning xerostomia: 8-12%
- TMJ soreness: 5-8%
- Dental soreness: 3-5%

No serious adverse events. Discontinuation due to adverse events: 3-8%.

Treatment Adherence and Satisfaction

Measure	BTX-A	Occlusal Splint
Adherence Rate	93-97%	68-85% ($p<0.05$)

Satisfaction ("satisfied" or "very satisfied")	or	78-88%	72-82% (p>0.05)
--	----	--------	-----------------

DISCUSSION

Principal Findings

This systematic review of six RCTs (323 participants) provides moderate-certainty evidence that both BTX-A injections and occlusal splint therapy are effective for bruxism management, with distinct therapeutic profiles suited to different clinical scenarios.

Key findings: (1) The maximum therapeutic effect of BTX-A is at 1-3 months with maximum improvement in MMO (SMD 0.787, $p=0.032$) and EMG (64% vs. 31%, $p<0.001$) in the 1-3 month range. (2) Occlusal splints bring about progressive enhancement as the outcomes reach convergence at 6 months - no meaningful differences in MMO, pain or frequency of bruxism. (3) 6-12 months long-term equivalence on all primary outcomes. (4) They both have desirable safety profiles and mainly mild and transient adverse events. (5) BTX-A has better adherence (93-97% vs. 68-85%, $p<0.05$) but equal satisfaction.

Comparative Time Course and Mechanisms

The differential time course is a clinically significant difference. The immediate effect on neuromuscular (1-2 weeks) of BTX-A yields a reduction in muscle contraction force (30-60 per cent) and an immediate response to hyperactivity. Outcome convergence is due to waning off gradually over 3-6 months as nerve terminals develop new connections.

Occlusal splints' progressive benefit reflects time needed for neuromuscular adaptation, behavioral modification, and tissue remodeling. Multiple complementary mechanisms contribute: mechanical protection, proprioceptive modulation, joint repositioning, increased patient awareness.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ By 6 months, sustained benefits equal BTX-A outcomes, suggesting different but equally effective pathways.

Clinical Decision Framework

Neither treatment is universally superior; selection should be individualized.

BTX-A preferred for: Urgent symptom control, severe muscle hyperactivity unresponsive to conservative measures, patients unable/unwilling to wear splints consistently, masseter hypertrophy with aesthetic concerns, trial before invasive interventions, acute exacerbations.

Occlusal splints preferred for: First-line conservative management, cost-conscious patients, those uncomfortable with injections, need for long-term dental protection, younger patients (long-term BTX-A considerations), situations requiring patient treatment control.

Combination approach potential: Complementary time courses suggest BTX-A for rapid initial control, transitioning to splint for long-term maintenance, with intermittent BTX-A for flares. Requires further investigation.

Safety and Tolerability

Both treatments showed favorable safety profiles. BTX-A adverse events (12-18% muscle weakness, 5-10% bruising) were mild, self-limiting, and acceptable trade-offs for therapeutic benefits. Low dysphagia incidence (2-4%) contrasts with higher rates in cervical dystonia treatment, reflecting superficial masseter/temporalis injections with minimal pharyngeal diffusion risk.⁶⁷ Long-term safety from decades of use in other indications provides reassurance.⁶⁸

Splint adverse events (25-40 initial discomfort, 15-22 salivation) were highest in the first 1-2 weeks of adaptation which reduced significantly with continued use. The fact that adverse events are fully reversible by discontinuation indicates that they are non-invasive.

Economic Considerations

Limitations Cost-effectiveness analysis restricted by unavailability of economic data in studies included. General considerations: BTX-A \$500-1100 per session, 3-6 monthly, 1000-4000 every year. Splints \$300-800 first fill-up, \$50-300/year afterwards. Splints are preferable in long-term modeling, but the readjusted costs of effectiveness and patient willingness-to-pay splints with desired features should be taken into consideration. The amount of insurance is significantly different.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths: Multiple databases searched, PRISMA-based rigorous search methods, independent screening/extracting of duplicates, formal quality evaluation (RoB 2), inclusion of a variety of results that represents the impact of bruxism, clear limitations description.

Limitations: Within-subject limitations, small sample sizes (24-80 participants) limit the strength of studies, longest study (12 months) used a heterogeneous outcome measure, comparative limitations due to differences in outcomes and study designs, challenges in blinding due to nature of interventions, limited studies, and varieties of samples used (mostly female), no objective polysomnographic validation in most studies, and threat of publication bias, variation in BTX-A dose and splint design.

Future Research Directions

Future research must address the following based on gaps in the literature: (1) Adequately powered RCTs with sample size calculations of meaningful differences; (2) Long follow-up (>12 months) to determine long-term efficacy, repeated BTX-A cycles, and possible rebound on dropping the treatment; (3) Objective outcome measures such as polysomnography-validated bruxism, standardized EMG protocols, 3D tooth wear quantification, TMJ imaging; (4) Combination therapy studies (sequential BTX-A to splint)

CONCLUSION

Both botulinum toxin type A injections and occlusal splint therapy are effective interventions that have been proven to be evidence based and can be used to manage bruxism management in this systematic review and meta-analysis. Both treatments have their own benefits depending on various clinical situations. BTX-A offers the highest benefit at the shortest time and is recommended to patients who need fast symptomatic relief, patients with severe cases of refractory symptoms, patients who cannot adhere to wear nightly appliances, or when aesthetic issue exists due to masseter hypertrophy. This temporary (3-6 months) nature implies the repetitive use of treatments at a certain cost and practicality. Long-term progression Occlusal splints provide similar therapeutic effects that deliver similar results in 6 months. Their low initial cost of fabrication, insecurity of protection, long-lasting protective effects, and their high safety in the long-term aspect makes splints a suitable method of first-line treatment, economically-conscious patients and individuals who need continuous dental care.

The similarity of the results at 6-12 months of the entirety of the measured parameters suggests that there is therapeutic equivalence in the long-term efficacy. It allows a personalized approach to medicine where choices of treatment involve patient-specific variables: the severity and urgency of the symptoms, preferences in treatment (injections or appliance), financial aspects and insurance, capacities to comply, comorbidities, and long-term treatment objectives. To achieve the best clinical practice, clinicians must offer both evidence-based choices to the patients by using shared decision-making, as it helps them make a choice depending on personal conditions, values, and therapeutic goals. Both modalities should not be regarded as universally better; instead, the rational association of the nature of treatment with the needs of the patient will maximize the results in this widespread and influential disorder. Clinical decision-making will be further optimized and clinical outcomes will be improved as future studies to fill the identified knowledge gaps - especially with regards to prolonged follow-ups, objective outcome measurements,

economic calculations, combination therapies, and predictors of treatment response - will be conducted.

REFERENCES

1. Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Raphael KG, Wetselaar P, Glaros AG, Kato T, et al. International consensus on the assessment of bruxism: Report of a work in progress. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2018;45(11):837-844. doi:10.1111/joor.12663
2. Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Relationship between bruxism and temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review of literature from 1998 to 2008. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2010;109(6):e26-e50. doi:10.1016/j.tripleo.2010.02.013
3. Shetty S, Pitti V, Satish Babu CL, Surendra Kumar GP, Deepthi BC. Bruxism: a literature review. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc*. 2010;10(3):141-148. doi:10.1007/s13191-011-0041-5
4. Manfredini D, Winocur E, Guarda-Nardini L, Paesani D, Lobbezoo F. Epidemiology of bruxism in adults: a systematic review of the literature. *J Orofac Pain*. 2013;27(2):99-110. doi:10.11607/jop.921
5. Jiménez-Silva A, Peña-Durán C, Tobar-Reyes J, Frugone-Zambra R. Sleep and awake bruxism in adults and its relationship with temporomandibular disorders: A systematic review from 2003 to 2014. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2017;75(1):36-58. doi:10.1080/00016357.2016.1247465
6. Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Bruxism is mainly regulated centrally, not peripherally. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2001;28(12):1085-1091. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2842.2001.00839.x
7. Lavigne GJ, Khoury S, Abe S, Yamaguchi T, Raphael K. Bruxism physiology and pathology: an overview for clinicians. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2008;35(7):476-494. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2008.01881.x
8. Kato T, Thie NM, Huynh N, Miyawaki S, Lavigne GJ. Topical review: sleep bruxism and the role of peripheral sensory influences. *J Orofac Pain*. 2003;17(3):191-213.
9. Lobbezoo F, Van Der Zaag J, Naeije M. Bruxism: its multiple causes and its effects on dental implants - an updated review. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2006;33(4):293-300. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2006.01609.x
10. Ohrbach R, Bair E, Fillingim RB, Gonzalez Y, Gordon SM, Lim PF, et al. Clinical orofacial characteristics associated with risk of first-onset TMD: the OPPERA prospective cohort study. *J Pain*. 2013;14(12 Suppl):T33-T50. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2013.07.018
11. Oporto GH, Bornhardt T, Iturriaga V, Salazar LA. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes of dopaminergic pathways are associated with bruxism. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2018;22(1):331-337. doi:10.1007/s00784-017-2121-0
12. Winocur E, Gavish A, Voikovitch M, Emodi-Perlman A, Eli I. Drugs and bruxism: a critical review. *J Orofac Pain*. 2003;17(2):99-111.

13. Winocur E, Uziel N, Lisha T, Goldsmith C, Eli I. Self-reported bruxism - associations with perceived stress, motivation for control, dental anxiety and gagging. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2011;38(1):3-11. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2010.02118.x
14. Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Role of psychosocial factors in the etiology of bruxism. *J Orofac Pain*. 2009;23(2):153-166.
15. Carra MC, Huynh N, Morton P, Rompré PH, Papadakis A, Remise C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of sleep bruxism and wake-time tooth clenching in a 7- to 17-yr-old population. *Eur J Oral Sci*. 2011;119(5):386-394. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0722.2011.00846.x
16. Hosoya H, Kitaura H, Hashimoto T, Ito M, Kinbara M, Deguchi T, et al. Relationship between sleep bruxism and sleep respiratory events in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep Breath*. 2014;18(4):837-844. doi:10.1007/s11325-014-0942-5
17. Shetty S, Pitti V, Satish Babu CL, Surendra Kumar GP, Deepthi BC. Bruxism: a literature review. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc*. 2010;10(3):141-148. doi:10.1007/s13191-011-0041-5
18. Johansson A, Omar R, Carlsson GE. Bruxism and prosthetic treatment: a critical review. *J Prosthodont Res*. 2011;55(3):127-136. doi:10.1016/j.jpor.2011.02.004
19. Pintado MR, Anderson GC, DeLong R, Douglas WH. Variation in tooth wear in young adults over a two-year period. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1997;77(3):313-320. doi:10.1016/s0022-3913(97)70189-6
20. Carlsson GE, Egermark I, Magnusson T. Predictors of bruxism, other oral parafunctions, and tooth wear over a 20-year follow-up period. *J Orofac Pain*. 2003;17(1):50-57.
21. Lobbezoo F, Van Selms MK, John MT, Huggins K, Ohrbach R, Visscher CM, et al. Use of the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders for multinational research: translation efforts and reliability assessments in The Netherlands. *J Orofac Pain*. 2005;19(4):301-308.
22. Raphael KG, Sirois DA, Janal MN, Wigren PE, Dubrovsky B, Nemelivsky LV, et al. Sleep bruxism and myofascial temporomandibular disorders: a laboratory-based polysomnographic investigation. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2012;143(11):1223-1231. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2012.0068
23. Okeson JP, de Leeuw R. Differential diagnosis of temporomandibular disorders and other orofacial pain disorders. *Dent Clin North Am*. 2011;55(1):105-120. doi:10.1016/j.cden.2010.08.007
24. Slade GD, Ohrbach R, Greenspan JD, Fillingim RB, Bair E, Sanders AE, et al. Painful temporomandibular disorder: decade of discovery from OPPERA studies. *J Dent Res*. 2016;95(10):1084-1092. doi:10.1177/0022034516653743
25. Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, Look J, Anderson G, Goulet JP, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache*. 2014;28(1):6-27. doi:10.11607/jop.1151
26. Lavigne GJ, Rompré PH, Montplaisir JY. Sleep bruxism: validity of clinical research diagnostic criteria in a controlled polysomnographic study. *J Dent Res*. 1996;75(1):546-552. doi:10.1177/00220345960750010601
27. Marbach JJ, Raphael KG, Dohrenwend BP, Lennon MC. The validity of tooth grinding measures: etiology of pain dysfunction syndrome revisited. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1990;120(3):327-333. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.1990.0053
28. Ahlberg J, Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg K, Manfredini D, Hublin C, Sinisalo J, et al. Self-reported bruxism mirrors anxiety and stress in adults. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2013;18(1):e7-11. doi:10.4317/medoral.18232
29. Macedo CR, Silva AB, Machado MA, Saconato H, Prado GF. Occlusal splints for treating sleep bruxism (tooth grinding). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007;(4):CD005514. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005514.pub2
30. Al-Moraissi EA, Farea R, Qasem KA, Al-Wadeai MS, Al-Sabahi ME, Al-Iryani GM. Effectiveness of occlusal splint therapy in the management of temporomandibular disorders: network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2020;49(8):1042-1056. doi:10.1016/j.ijom.2020.01.004
31. Ommerborn MA, Schneider C, Giraki M, Schäfer R, Handschel J, Franz M, et al. In vivo evaluation of noncarious cervical lesions in sleep bruxism subjects. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2007;98(2):150-158. doi:10.1016/S0022-3913(07)60048-1
32. Jokubauskas L, Baltrušaitytė A, Pileičikienė G. Oral appliances for managing sleep bruxism in adults: a systematic review from 2007 to 2017. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2018;45(1):81-95. doi:10.1111/joor.12582
33. Huynh N, Manzini C, Rompré PH, Lavigne GJ. Weighing the potential effectiveness of various treatments for sleep bruxism. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 2007;73(8):727-730
34. Criado L, Diaz-Serrano KV, da Silva CB, Saraiva L, Hadad H, Paiva HJ, et al. Effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction on bruxers compared to a dental care group: a randomised controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2020;47(12):1494-1503. doi:10.1111/joor.13090
35. Jadidi F, Castrillon E, Svensson P. Effect of contingent electrical stimulation on jaw muscle activity during sleep: a pilot study with a randomized controlled trial design. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2013;71(5):1050-1062. doi:10.3109/00016357.2012.734410
36. Yap AU, Chua AP. Sleep bruxism: Current

- knowledge and contemporary management. *J Conserv Dent*. 2016;19(5):383-389. doi:10.4103/0972-0707.190007
37. Klasser GD, Greene CS. Oral appliances in the management of temporomandibular disorders. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2009;107(2):212-223. doi:10.1016/j.tripleo.2008.10.007
38. Raphael KG, Janal MN, Sirois DA, Svensson P. Effect of contingent electrical stimulation on masticatory muscle activity and pain in patients with a myofascial temporomandibular disorder and sleep bruxism. *J Orofac Pain*. 2013;27(1):21-31. doi:10.11607/jop.1025
39. Klasser GD, Greene CS, Lavigne GJ. Oral appliances and the management of sleep bruxism in adults: a century of clinical applications and search for mechanisms. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2010;23(5):453-462.
40. Dubé C, Rompré PH, Manzini C, Guitard F, de Grandmont P, Lavigne GJ. Quantitative polygraphic controlled study on efficacy and safety of oral splint devices in tooth-grinding subjects. *J Dent Res*. 2004;83(5):398-403. doi:10.1177/154405910408300509
41. Okeson JP. The effects of hard and soft occlusal splints on nocturnal bruxism. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1987;114(6):788-791. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.1987.0199
42. Landry ML, Rompré PH, Manzini C, Guitard F, de Grandmont P, Lavigne GJ. Reduction of sleep bruxism using a mandibular advancement device: an experimental controlled study. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2006;19(6):549-556.
43. Stapelmann H, Türp JC. The NTI-tss device for the therapy of bruxism, temporomandibular disorders, and headache - where do we stand? A qualitative systematic review of the literature. *BMC Oral Health*. 2008;8:22. doi:10.1186/1472-6831-8-22
44. Harada T, Ichiki R, Tsukiyama Y, Koyano K. The effect of oral splint devices on sleep bruxism: a 6-week observation with an ambulatory electromyographic recording device. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2006;33(7):482-488. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2005.01575.x
45. Takeuchi H, Ikeda T, Clark GT. A piezoelectric film-based intrasplint detection method for bruxism. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2001;86(2):195-202. doi:10.1067/mp.2001.117176
46. Clark GT, Tsukiyama Y, Baba K, Watanabe T. Sixty-eight years of experimental occlusal interference studies: what have we learned? *J Prosthet Dent*. 1999;82(6):704-713. doi:10.1016/s0022-3913(99)70012-0
47. Raphael KG, Marbach JJ, Klausner J. Myofascial face pain. Clinical characteristics of those with regional vs. widespread pain. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2000;131(2):161-171. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2000.0148
48. Gray RJ, Davies SJ, Quayle AA. A clinical approach to temporomandibular disorders. 4. A clinical approach to treatment. *Br Dent J*. 1994;177(3):101-106. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.4808526
49. McNeill C. Management of temporomandibular disorders: concepts and controversies. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1997;77(5):510-522. doi:10.1016/s0022-3913(97)70145-8
- Wassell RW, Adams N, Kelly PJ. The treatment of temporomandibular disorders with stabilizing splints in general dental practice: one-year follow-up. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2006;137(8):1089-1098. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2006.0349
51. Macedo CR, Machado MA, Silva AB, Prado GF. Pharmacotherapy for sleep bruxism. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;(10):CD005578. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005578.pub2
52. Friction J, Look JO, Wright E, Alencar FG Jr, Chen H, Lang M, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials evaluating intraoral orthopedic appliances for temporomandibular disorders. *J Orofac Pain*. 2010;24(3):237-254.
53. Albagieh H, Alomran I, Binakresh A, Albeshier R, Khan F, Alshahrani F, et al. Occlusal splints-types and effectiveness in temporomandibular disorder management. *Saudi Dent J*. 2023;35(1):70-79. doi:10.1016/j.sdentj.2022.12.013
54. Svensson P, Jadidi F, Arima T, Baad-Hansen L, Sessle BJ. Relationships between craniofacial pain and bruxism. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2008;35(7):524-547. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2008.01852.x
55. Ekberg E, Vallon D, Nilner M. Occlusal appliance therapy in patients with temporomandibular disorders. A double-blind controlled study in a short-term perspective. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 1998;56(2):122-128. doi:10.1080/000163598422976
56. Dao TT, Lavigne GJ. Oral splints: the crutches for temporomandibular disorders and bruxism? *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 1998;9(3):345-361. doi:10.1177/10454411980090030701
57. Ramfjord SP, Ash MM Jr. Reflections on the Michigan occlusal splint. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1994;21(5):491-500. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.1994.tb01158.x
58. Magnusson T, Egermark I, Carlsson GE. A prospective investigation over two decades on signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders and associated variables. A final summary. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2005;63(2):99-109. doi:10.1080/00016350510019739
59. Okeson JP. Long-term treatment of disk-interference disorders of the temporomandibular joint with anterior repositioning occlusal splints. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1988;60(5):611-616. doi:10.1016/0022-3913(88)90197-3
60. Widmalm SE, Westesson PL, Kim IK, Pereira FJ Jr, Lundh H, Tasaki MM. Temporomandibular joint pathosis related to sex, age, and dentition in autopsy material. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1994;78(4):416-425. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(94)90031-0

61. Aoki KR. Review of a proposed mechanism for the antinociceptive action of botulinum toxin type A. *Neurotoxicology*. 2005;26(5):785-793. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2005.01.017
62. Jankovic J. Botulinum toxin in clinical practice. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004;75(7):951-957. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2003.034702
63. Dressler D, Benecke R. Pharmacology of therapeutic botulinum toxin preparations. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2007;29(23):1761-1768. doi:10.1080/09638280701568296
64. Simpson LL. Identification of the major steps in botulinum toxin action. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2004;44:167-193. doi:10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.44.101802.121554
65. Guarda-Nardini L, Manfredini D, Salamone M, Salmaso L, Tonello S, Ferronato G. Efficacy of botulinum toxin in treating myofascial pain in bruxers: a controlled placebo pilot study. *Cranio*. 2008;26(2):126-135. doi:10.1179/crn.2008.017
66. Chikhani L, Dichamp J. Bruxism, temporomandibular dysfunction and botulinum toxin. *Ann Readapt Med Phys*. 2003;46(6):333-337. doi:10.1016/s0168-6054(03)00071-6
67. Tan EK, Jankovic J. Treating severe bruxism with botulinum toxin. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2000;131(2):211-216. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2000.0149
68. Long H, Liao Z, Wang Y, Liao L, Lai W. Efficacy of botulinum toxins on bruxism: an evidence-based review. *Int Dent J*. 2012;62(1):1-5. doi:10.1111/j.1875-595X.2011.00085.x
69. Redaelli A. Botulinum toxin A in bruxers. One year experience. *Saudi Med J*. 2011;32(2):156-158.
70. Ondo WG, Simmons JH, Shahid MH, Hashem V, Hunter C, Jankovic J. Onabotulinum toxin-A injections for sleep bruxism: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Neurology*. 2018;90(7):e559-e564. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000004951
71. Lee SJ, McCall WD Jr, Kim YK, Chung SC, Chung JW. Effect of botulinum toxin injection on nocturnal bruxism: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2010;89(1):16-23. doi:10.1097/PHM.0b013e3181bc0c78
72. Nixdorf DR, Heo G, Major PW. Randomized controlled trial of botulinum toxin A for chronic myogenous orofacial pain. *Pain*. 2002;99(3):465-473. doi:10.1016/s0304-3959(02)00244-8
73. Kurtoglu C, Gur OH, Kurkcu M, Sertdemir Y, Guler-Uysal F, Uysal H. Effect of botulinum toxin-A in myofascial pain patients with or without functional disc displacement. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008;66(8):1644-1651. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2008.03.008
74. Al-Wayli H. Treatment of chronic pain associated with nocturnal bruxism with botulinum toxin. A prospective and randomized clinical study. *J Clin Exp Dent*. 2017;9(1):e112-e117. doi:10.4317/jced.53084
75. Jadhao VA, Lokhande N, Habbu SG, Sewane S, Dongare S, Goyal N. Efficacy of botulinum toxin in treating myofascial pain and occlusal force characteristics of masticatory muscles in bruxism. *Indian J Dent Res*. 2017;28(5):493-497. doi:10.4103/ijdr.IJDR_668_15
76. Shim YJ, Lee HJ, Park KH, Kim HT, Hong IH, Kim ST. Botulinum toxin therapy for managing sleep bruxism: a randomized and placebo-controlled trial. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2020;12(3):168. doi:10.3390/toxins12030168
77. Fallah HM, Currimbhoy S. Use of botulinum toxin A for treatment of myofascial pain and dysfunction. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2012;70(5):1243-1245. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2012.01.015
78. Park YJ, Ku SK, Lee DH, Kim ST. Combined effects of botulinum toxin injection and oral appliance therapy on lower facial contouring: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(14):4092. doi:10.3390/jcm11144092
79. de Lima MC, Rizzatti Barbosa CM, Duarte Gavião MB, Ferreira Caria PH. Is low dose of botulinum toxin effective in controlling chronic pain in sleep bruxism, awake bruxism, and temporomandibular disorder? *Cranio*. 2021;42(4):421-428. doi:10.1080/08869634.2021.1973215
80. Ali SM, Alqutaibi AY, Aboalrejal A, Elawady DM. Botulinum toxin and occlusal splints for the management of sleep bruxism in individuals with implant overdentures: a randomized controlled trial. *Saudi Dent J*. 2021;33(8):1004-1011. doi:10.1016/j.sdentj.2021.07.001
81. Li K, Tan K, Yacovelli A, Bi WG. Effect of botulinum toxin type A on muscular temporomandibular disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2024;51(5):886-897. doi:10.1111/joor.13648
82. Naumann M, Jankovic J. Safety of botulinum toxin type A: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2004;20(7):981-990. doi:10.1185/030079904125003962
83. Kim HS, Yun PY, Kim YK. A clinical evaluation of botulinum toxin-A injections in the treatment of maxillary square-shaped face. *Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2014;36(2):35-41. doi:10.14402/jkamprs.2014.36.2.35
84. Park MY, Ahn KY, Jung DS. Botulinum toxin type A treatment for contouring of the lower face. *Dermatol Surg*. 2003;29(5):477-483. doi:10.1046/j.1524-4725.2003.29103.x
85. Zhou J, Wang Y, Zhao H, Wang F. A meta-analysis on the efficacy of botulinum toxin for bruxism. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2020;145(1):143-151. doi:10.1097/PRS.0000000000006346
86. Delcanho R, Val M, Guarda Nardini L, Manfredini D. Botulinum toxin for treating temporomandibular disorders: what is the evidence? *J Oral Facial Pain Headache*. 2022;36(1):6-20. doi:10.11607/ofph.3023
87. Fernández-Núñez T, Amghar-Maach S, Gay-Escoda C. Efficacy of botulinum toxin in the

treatment of bruxism: Systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2019;24(4):e416-e424. doi:10.4317/medoral.22923

88. Sousa BM, Lopez-Valverde N, López-Valverde A, Caramelo F, Fraile JF, Payo JH, et al. Different treatments in patients with temporomandibular joint disorders: a comparative randomized study. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2020;56(3):113. doi:10.3390/medicina56030113

89. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

90. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2019;366:l4898. doi:10.1136/bmj.l4898

91. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ*. 2016;355:i4919. doi:10.1136/bmj.i4919

92. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2014;14:135. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-14-135

93. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7650):924-926. doi:10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD

94. Shahine EM, Hamid AMA, Kammoun YA. Efficacy of botulinum toxin-A versus stabilization occlusal splint in treatment against temporomandibular disorders: a clinical and quantitative electromyographic study. *Egypt Rheumatol Rehabil*. 2013;40:22-26. doi:10.7123/01.ERR.0000426447.79390.5D

95. Yurttutan ME, Tütüncüler Sancak K, Tüzüner AM. Which treatment is effective for bruxism: occlusal splints or botulinum toxin? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;77(12):2431-2438. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2019.06.005

96. Hong SW, Kang JH. Decreased mandibular cortical bone quality after botulinum toxin injections in masticatory muscles in female adults. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):3623. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-60554-w

97. Nagy Fathy A. Botulinum injection in the masticatory muscles for management of myofascial pain. *Al-Azhar J Dent Sci*. 2020;23(4):375-381. doi:10.21608/ajdsm.2020.25075.1013

98. De la Torre Canales G, Poluha RL, Alvarez Pinzon YN, Rodrigues Garcia RCM, Conti PCR, Campi LB, et al. Effects of botulinum toxin type a on the psychosocial features of myofascial pain tmd subjects: a randomized controlled trial. *J Oral Facial*

Pain Headache. 2021;35(4):288-296. doi:10.11607/ofph.2917

99. Hosgor H, Altindis S, Sen E. Comparison of the efficacy of occlusal splint and botulinum toxin therapies in patients with temporomandibular disorders with sleep bruxism. *J Orofac Orthop*. 2024;85(Suppl 1):102-108. doi:10.1007/s00056-023-00498-8